11/13/97



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,626	07/26/2000		William C.Y. Lee	139.136USU1	8528
22462	7590	12/22/2003		EXAMINER	
GATES & COOPER LLP				RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			2683	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  Office Action Summary  Examiner Sharad Rampuria  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SUPPLEMED STATUTORY REPLOY FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE a MONTH (S) EROM							
Office Action Summary  Examiner  Sharad Rampuria  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
Sharad Rampuria 2683  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
Period for Reply							
A CHARTENER STATISTORY REGION FOR BERLY IS SET TO EVERIES AMONTHUS FROM							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/2/03</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Art Unit: 2683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bark et al. in view of Frlan.

- 1. Regarding Claim 1, Bark disclosed A method for operating a wireless network (abstract), comprising:
- (a) collecting and analyzing information from the wireless network into a collection and analysis system (32; Fig. 1B; Col.6; 15-20),
- (b) optimizing the wireless network's operation from a network control system using the collected and analyzed information. (Col.6; 34-55)

Bark fails to disclosed wherein the information includes location information on mobile transceivers operating within the network. However, Frlan teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the information includes location information on mobile transceivers operating within the network; (Col.5; 41-54) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include wherein the information includes location information on

Art Unit: 2683

mobile transceivers operating within the network in order to provide the sufficient proximity of mobile communication terminal in the network.

- 2. Regarding Claim 2, Bark disclosed all the particulars of the claim except E911 location information. However, Frlan teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 1, wherein the location information comprises E911 location information. (Col.5; 41-54) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include E911 location information in order to provide the sufficient proximity of mobile communication terminal in the network.
- 3. Regarding Claim 3, Bark disclosed The method of claim 1, wherein the information further includes one or more types of information selected from a group comprising Hand Off (HO) information, Power information, Measurements, and System Parameters from the wireless network. (74; fig.5; Col.8: 28-55)
- 4. Regarding Claim 4, Bark disclosed The method of claim 1, wherein the information is collected when certain defined thresholds are triggered. (Col.10; 63-67)
- 5. Regarding Claim 5, Bark disclosed The method of claim 1, wherein the optimizing step further comprises dynamically allocating radio frequency (RF) signal power in the wireless network based on the collected and analyzed information (Col.11; 11-25).
- 6. Regarding Claim 6, Bark disclosed The method of claim 5, wherein the dynamically allocating step further comprises dynamically assigning radio frequency (RF) signal power to cells, sectors within cells, and mobile transceivers based on the collected and analyzed information (Col.8: 28-55).

Art Unit: 2683

- 7. Regarding Claim 7, Bark disclosed The method of claim 1, wherein the optimizing step further comprises setting dynamic dedicated handoff (HO) thresholds for individual mobile transceivers based on the collected and analyzed information. (Col.10: 63-col.11; 10)
- 8. Regarding Claim 8, Bark disclosed The method of claim 7, wherein the individual mobile transceivers each have a unique, assigned HO (hand off) threshold. (Col.10: 63-col.11; 10)
- 9. Regarding Claim 9, Bark disclosed The method of claim 8, wherein the optimizing step further comprises performing handoffs for individual mobile transceivers based on their unique, assigned HO (hand off) threshold and their location. (Col.10: 63-col.11; 10)
- 10. Regarding Claim 10, Bark disclosed The method of claim 9, wherein the performing step comprises performing handoffs for individual mobile transceivers in order to minimize interference levels. (Col.10: 39-46)
- 11. Regarding Claim 11, Bark disclosed The method of claim 1, wherein the optimizing step further comprises intelligently forming radio frequency (RF) signal beams using the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 12. Regarding Claim 12, Bark disclosed The method of claim 11, wherein the intelligently forming step further comprises steering an RF signal beam in the direction of one or more mobile transceivers based on the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 13. Regarding Claim 13, Bark disclosed The method of claim 1, further comprising identifying and resolving problems using the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 14. Regarding Claim 14, Bark disclosed The method of claim 13, wherein the identifying and resolving step further comprises identifying problems in the wireless network, and correlating the identified problems with the collected and analyzed information. (Col. 8: 28-55)

Art Unit: 2683

- 15. Regarding Claim 15, Bark disclosed The method of claim 14, wherein the correlating step further comprises correlating the identified problems with mobile transceiver location information from the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 16. Regarding Claim 16, Bark disclosed A system for operating a wireless network (abstract), comprising:
- (a) collecting and analyzing information from the wireless network into a collection and analysis system (32; Fig.1B; Col.6; 15-20),
- (b) optimizing the wireless network's operation from a network control system using the collected and analyzed information. (Col.6; 34-55)

Bark fails to disclosed wherein the information includes location information on mobile transceivers operating within the network. However, Frlan teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the information includes location information on mobile transceivers operating within the network; (Col.5; 41-54) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include wherein the information includes location information on mobile transceivers operating within the network in order to provide the sufficient proximity of mobile communication terminal in the network.

17. Regarding Claim 17, Bark disclosed all the particulars of the claim except E911 location information. However, Frlan teaches in an analogous art, that The system of claim 16, wherein the location information comprises E911 location information. (Col.5; 41-54) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include E911 location information in order to provide the sufficient proximity of mobile communication terminal in the network.

Art Unit: 2683

- 18. Regarding Claim 18, Bark disclosed The system of claim 16, wherein the information further includes one or more types of information selected from a group comprising Hand Off (HO) information, Power information, Measurements, and System Parameters from the wireless network. (74; fig. 5; Col.8: 28-55)
- 19. Regarding Claim 19, Bark disclosed The system of claim 16, wherein the information is collected when certain defined thresholds are triggered. (Col. 10; 63-67)
- 20. Regarding Claim 20, Bark disclosed The system of claim 16, wherein the optimizing step further comprises dynamically allocating radio frequency (RF) signal power in the wireless network based on the collected and analyzed information (Col.11; 11-25).
- 21. Regarding Claim 21, Bark disclosed The system of claim 16, wherein the dynamically allocating step further comprises dynamically assigning radio frequency (RF) signal power to cells, sectors within cells, and mobile transceivers based on the collected and analyzed information (Col.8: 28-55).
- 22. Regarding Claim 22, Bark disclosed The system of claim 16, wherein the optimizing step further comprises setting dynamic dedicated handoff (HO) thresholds for individual mobile transceivers based on the collected and analyzed information. (Col.10: 63-col.11; 10)
- 23. Regarding Claim 23, Bark disclosed The system of claim 22, wherein the individual mobile transceivers each have a unique, assigned HO (hand off) threshold. (Col.10: 63-col.11; 10)
- 24. Regarding Claim 24, Bark disclosed The system of claim 23, wherein the optimizing step further comprises performing handoffs for individual mobile transceivers based on their unique, assigned HO (hand off) threshold and their location. (Col.10: 63-col.11; 10)

Art Unit: 2683

- 25. Regarding Claim 25, Bark disclosed The system of claim 24, wherein the performing step comprises performing handoffs for individual mobile transceivers in order to minimize interference levels. (Col.10: 39-46)
- 26. Regarding Claim 26, Bark disclosed The system of claim 16, wherein the optimizing step further comprises intelligently forming radio frequency (RF) signal beams using the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 27. Regarding Claim 27, Bark disclosed The system of claim 26, wherein the intelligently forming step further comprises steering an RF signal beam in the direction of one or more mobile transceivers based on the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 28. Regarding Claim 28, Bark disclosed The system of claim 16, further comprising identifying and resolving problems using the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 29. Regarding Claim 29, Bark disclosed The system of claim 28, wherein the identifying and resolving step further comprises identifying problems in the wireless network, and correlating the identified problems with the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)
- 30. Regarding Claim 30, Bark disclosed The system of claim 29, wherein the correlating step further comprises correlating the identified problems with mobile transceiver location information from the collected and analyzed information. (Col.8: 28-55)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is 703-308-4736. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu. (8:15-5:45) alternate Fri. (8:15-4:45).

Art Unit: 2683

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Sharad K. Rampuria December 11, 2003

WILLIAM TROST

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600